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Abstract

This paper describes computations of the circumradius of cyclic polygons given by the lengths of the sides. Extending the author’s previous paper in 2011, we mainly discuss the computation and analysis of the formulae for cyclic heptagons and octagons. As a result of the present work, we have succeeded in explicitly computing the circumradius of cyclic heptagons, which is converted into an expression in the form of elementary symmetric polynomials for the first time. We have also succeeded in computing 25 out of 39 coefficients in the circumradius formula for cyclic octagons. Moreover, investigating the formulae by the total degree of each term, from triangles to octagons, we have discovered a characteristic structure in common among them, which should be helpful for computing the other huge coefficients remaining in the octagon formula.
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1 Introduction

In this study, we consider a classic problem in Euclidean geometry for cyclic polygons; that is, polygons inscribed in a circle. In particular, we focus on computing the circumradius $R$ of cyclic $n$-gons given by the lengths of sides $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n$. In a previous paper [5], the author succeeded in computing explicit formulae for the circumradii of cyclic hexagons and heptagons. However, the algorithms used there were rather straightforward and inefficient from the present point of view. Hence, the aim of this study encompasses the following problems related to circumradius formulae for cyclic polygons:

1. improvement of the computation algorithm for hexagons and heptagons,
2. conversion of the heptagon formula into an expression in the form of elementary symmetric polynomials,
(3) computation of the explicit formula for cyclic octagons,

(4) analysis of the formulae by an investigation in terms of total degrees.

Since Robbins [10] showed the “area formula (Heron polynomial)” for cyclic pentagons, several authors have studied this problem of the area as described, for example, in the report by Pak [8]. Pech [9] computed the actual form of the area of pentagons using a Gröbner basis technique, and also discussed the circumradius of pentagons. The degree of generalized Heron polynomials was proved by Fedorchuk and Pak [11], and the area formulae for cyclic heptagons and octagons were given by Maley et al. [2]. Independently of these studies, Varfolomeev [12] has discussed the area and the circumradius of cyclic polygons, but has never obtained an explicit formula for $n > 5$.

As a related work, the author derived an “integrated formula” for the relation of circumradius $R$ and area $S$ for $n = 5, 6$ in [3], which is a correction and expansion of the result of Svtatan et al. [11].

In contrast, this paper focuses on the “circumradius formulae” for cyclic polygons, which have not been so closely investigated in the above papers. The reason might be that the computation of circumradius formulae is very simply realized by resultants. If we already have $f_n(a_1, \ldots, a_n; R^2)$ as the circumradius formula for $n$-gons, with $f_3(a_1, a_2, a_3; R^2)$ as Heron’s formula for triangles, the formula for $(n + 1)$-gons is computed inductively by the following equation using a diagonal $d$, because these three polygons have a circumcircle in common:

$$f_{n+1}(a_1, \ldots, a_{n+1}; R^2) := \text{Res}_d \left( f_n(a_1, \ldots, a_n, d; R^2), f_3(d, a_n, a_{n+1}; R^2) \right) / (R^2),$$

(1)

where the number of redundant factor $R^2$ depends on the case. If we could compute the elimination by resultant efficiently, this equation would be easily solved. However, the polynomials $f_n$ for $n \geq 7$ become so huge that we need much more consideration than for a straight computation. Moreover, the polynomial $f_{n+1}$ needs to be factored in some circumstances, so that proper factors should be selected.

To the best of our knowledge, there exist no reports in which the circumradii for $n \geq 6$ are explicitly computed, other than the author’s previous paper [5]. In the present paper, we review the computation for heptagons and attempt to compute the octagon formula. We note that some partial results of this study have been already shown in a report by the author [7].

2 Previously known results for $n = 3, 4, 5$

2.1 Circumradius of a triangle ($n = 3$)

Firstly, we consider the circumradius $R$ of a triangle with side lengths $a_1$, $a_2$, and $a_3$. Every triangle has a circumcircle, and its radius is given by the classical formula of Heron

$$R = \frac{a_1 a_2 a_3}{\sqrt{(a_1 + a_2 + a_3)(-a_1 + a_2 + a_3)(a_1 - a_2 + a_3)(a_1 + a_2 - a_3)}}. \tag{2}$$

It is straightforward to obtain the above relation using cosine and sine rules. Converting Eq. (2) into a polynomial expression, we obtain

$$(a_1^4 + a_2^4 + a_3^4 - 2(a_1^2 a_2^2 + a_2^2 a_3^2 + a_1^2 a_3^2)) R^2 + a_1^2 a_2^2 a_3^2 = 0. \tag{3}$$

In the following, letting $y := R^2$, we consider the defining polynomial in $y$ for each inscribed polygon. From the above equation, we express the defining polynomial for a triangle as

$$\Phi_3(a_1, a_2, a_3; y) := (a_1^4 + a_2^4 + a_3^4 - 2(a_1^2 a_2^2 + a_2^2 a_3^2 + a_1^2 a_3^2)) y + a_1^2 a_2^2 a_3^2. \tag{4}$$
We note that the leading coefficient is factored into $\prod(a_1 \pm a_2 \pm a_3)$ as the product of all four combinations. In order to express the formula in more compact form, using elementary symmetric polynomials in $a_i^2$, we rewrite the above result as

$$F_3(s_1, s_2, s_3; y) := (s_1^2 - 4s_2)y + s_3,$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

where $s_1 = a_1^2 + a_2^2 + a_3^2$, $s_2 = a_1^2a_2^2 + a_2^2a_3^2 + a_3^2a_1^2$, and $s_3 = a_1^2a_2^2a_3^2$.

The aim of this study is to compute the similar polynomials $\Phi_n(a; y)$ and $F_n(s; y)$ for $n \geq 4$ and clarify their characteristics. That is, for a given cyclic $n$-gon with the length of sides $a_1, \ldots, a_n$, we compute the polynomial $\Phi_n(a_1, \ldots, a_n; R^2)$ where all the possible circumradii $R$ are contained as its roots.

### 2.2 Circumradius of a cyclic quadrilateral ($n = 4$)

Secondly, we have the classic result of Brahmagupta for a “convex” cyclic quadrilateral:

$$R = \sqrt{\frac{(a_1a_2 + a_3a_4)(a_1a_3 + a_2a_4)(a_1a_4 + a_2a_3)}{(-a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + a_4)(a_1 - a_2 + a_3 + a_4)(a_1 + a_2 - a_3 + a_4)(a_1 + a_2 + a_3 - a_4)}}.$$ \hspace{1cm} (6)

From its polynomial expression, we define the circumradius formula as

$$\Phi_4^{(+)}(a_1; y) := \left((a_1^4 + a_2^4 + a_3^4 + a_4^4) - 2(a_1^2a_2^2 + a_1^2a_3^2 + a_1^2a_4^2 + a_2^2a_3^2 + a_2^2a_4^2 + a_3^2a_1^2) - 8a_1a_2a_3a_4\right)y$$

$$+ (a_1^2a_2^2a_3^2 + a_1^2a_2^2a_4^2 + a_1^2a_3^2a_4^2 + a_2^2a_3^2a_4^2) + (a_1^2 + a_2^2 + a_3^2 + a_4^2)a_1a_2a_3a_4.$$ \hspace{1cm} (7)

Again, we note that the leading coefficient is factored into

$$\prod_{j=2}^{4} \left(a_1 + \sum_{j=2}^{4} (-1)^{j-1}a_j \right) \quad k_j \in \{0, 1\}, \quad \sum_{j=2}^{4} k_j \equiv 1 \mod 2,$$ \hspace{1cm} (8)

which is the product of $a_1 \pm a_2 \pm a_3 \pm a_4$ with even numbers of $+$ sign.

Using elementary symmetric polynomials in $a_i^2$, we rewrite the above result as

$$F_4^{(+)}(s; y) := (s_1^2 - 4s_2 - 8\sqrt{s_4})y + (s_3 + s_1\sqrt{s_4}),$$ \hspace{1cm} (9)

where $s_1 = a_1^2 + a_2^2 + a_3^2 + a_4^2$, $s_2 = a_1^2a_2^2 + \cdots$, $s_3 = a_1^2a_2^2a_3^2 + \cdots$, and $\sqrt{s_4} = a_1a_2a_3a_4$, which is used as an auxiliary to $s_4 = a_1^2a_2^2a_3^2a_4^2$.

We should note that, letting $a_4 := -a_4$, we obtain another polynomial for “non-convex” quadrilaterals:

$$\Phi_4^{(-)}(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4; y) := \Phi_4^{(+)}(a_1, a_2, a_3, -a_4; y).$$ \hspace{1cm} (10)

Its elementary symmetric polynomial expression is

$$F_4^{(-)}(s; y) := (s_1^2 - 4s_2 + 8\sqrt{s_4})y + (s_3 - s_1\sqrt{s_4}),$$ \hspace{1cm} (11)

which is obtained by substituting $\sqrt{s_4} := -\sqrt{s_4}$ in the polynomial $F_4^{(+)}(s; y).$
2.3 Relation of the formulae for a triangle and a quadrilateral \((n = 3, 4)\)

The polynomials \(\Phi_4^{(a)}(a; y)\) and \(\Phi_4^{(b)}(a; y)\) are also computed from \(\Phi_4(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4; y)\) by the following elimination procedure. We divide a cyclic quadrilateral with side lengths \(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4\) into two triangles with sides \(a_1, a_2, d\) and \(d, a_3, a_4\) by a diagonal of length \(d\). Since these two triangles have a circumcircle in common, we will obtain the circumradius \(R\) of a quadrilateral by eliminating the diagonal \(d\).

In Eq. (3), \(d\) will appear with only even degrees in the Heron polynomial. Therefore, we substitute \(D := d^2\) into it, and compute the resultant with \(D\). Removing the redundant factor \(y^2\) from the resultant, we have the following relation by factorization:

\[
\text{Res}_D(\Phi_3(a_1, a_2, \sqrt{D}; y), \Phi_3(\sqrt{D}, a_3, a_4; y))/y^2 = \Phi_4^{(a)}(a; y) \cdot \Phi_4^{(b)}(a; y). \tag{12}
\]

In the formulation later in this paper, we will also refer to the expanded form of the product on the right-hand side:

\[
\Phi_4^{(a)}(a; y) := \Phi_4^{(a)}(a; y) \cdot \Phi_4^{(b)}(a; y) = u_2(a_1^2)^2 + u_1(a_1^3)y + u_0(a_1^4) \quad (71 \text{ terms}), \tag{13}
\]

where each coefficient polynomial \(u_j(a_1^2)\) has terms with only even degrees in \(a_1\)’s.

Moreover, we should note that a good insight into the structure of the formulae is provided by the introduction of an auxiliary expression \(\sqrt{s_n} = a_1 \cdots a_n\) (for even \(n\)), as well as the notion of crossing parity \(e \in \{0\}[2]\), where \(e = 0\) for a triangle, \(+1\) for a convex quadrilateral, and \(-1\) for a non-convex quadrilateral. Under these notations, the circumradius formulae in \(y = R^2\) for \(n = 3, 4\) in Eqs. (5), (9), and (11) are written in the following unified form:

\[
F_{3,4}(s; y) := (s_1^2 - 4s_2 - e \cdot 8 \sqrt{s_4})y + (s_3 + e \cdot s_1 \sqrt{s_4}). \tag{14}
\]

2.4 Circumradius of a cyclic pentagon \((n = 5)\)

We start by dividing a cyclic pentagon with side lengths \(a_1, \ldots, a_5\) by a diagonal of length \(d\), into a cyclic quadrilateral of sides \(a_1, a_2, a_3, d\) and a triangle of sides \(d, a_4, a_5\), as shown in Fig. 3.

Since this quadrilateral and triangle have circumradius \(R\) in common, the cyclic pentagon formula should be obtained if the diagonal \(d\) is eliminated from the formulae of Brahmagupta and Heron. Specifically, we need to compute the following resultant:

\[
\Phi_5(a; y) := \text{Res}_d(\Phi_4^{(a)}(a_1, a_2, a_3, d; y), \Phi_3(d, a_4, a_5; y))/y = A_7y^7 + A_6y^6 + A_5y^5 + A_4y^4 + A_3y^3 + A_2y^2 + A_1y + A_0 \tag{15}
\]

\[(y = R^2, \quad A_i \in \mathbb{Z}[a_1^2, \ldots, a_5^2]).\]

We note that the leading coefficient and the constant term have the following forms:

\[
\begin{align*}
A_7 &= \prod (a_1 \pm a_2 \pm a_3 \pm a_4 \pm a_5) \quad \text{(all combinations, 16 terms)}, \\
A_0 &= a_1^6a_2^6a_3^6a_4^6a_5^6. \tag{16}
\end{align*}
\]

This strategy was proposed by Japanese mathematicians in the 17th century. Katahiro Takebe and Tomotoki Izeki showed, independently in 1683 and 1690, the details of the elimination procedures except for the final expanded expression [4]. Their results show that the circumradius formula for cyclic pentagons has 2,922 terms with degree 7 in \(y = R^2\), which is equivalent to the results obtained by modern computers [11][2].
We should note that the identical result is also obtained if we use $\Phi_4^{-} (\pm 4)$ instead of $\Phi_4^{(4)}$; that is, we have the following relations:

$$
\Phi_5 (a; y) = \text{Res}_d (\Phi_4^{-} (a_1, a_2, a_3; y), \Phi_3 (d, a_4, a_5; y))/y,
$$

where $D = d^2$ is substituted in the latter case.

It should also be helpful to reduce the expression for the pentagon case, using the elementary symmetric polynomials $s_1 = a_1 + \cdots + a_5$, $s_5 = a_1 \cdots a_5$. For an odd number $n$, $\sqrt{s_n} = a_1 \cdots a_n$ does not appear in the formulae. As a result, Eq. (15) is rewritten into a simpler form:

$$
F_5 (s; y) = \tilde{A}_7 y^7 + \tilde{A}_6 y^6 + \cdots + \tilde{A}_1 y + \tilde{A}_0 \quad (81 \text{ terms}),
$$

where $\tilde{A}_i \in \mathbb{Z}[s_1, \ldots, s_5]$. In this equation, the leading coefficient and the constant term have the following structures:

$$
\begin{cases}
\tilde{A}_7 &= \left((s_1^2 - 4s_2)^2 - 64s_4\right)^2 - 2048s_5(s_3^2 - 4s_1s_2 + 8s_3), \\
\tilde{A}_0 &= s_5^3,
\end{cases}
$$

which correspond to Eq. (19).

### 3 Revision of the computation for hexagons ($n = 6$)

#### 3.1 Robbins’ theorem and previous algorithm

The degrees of defining polynomials $\Phi_n (a; y)$ were proved by Fedorchuk and Pak [1], after having been first conjectured by Robbins [10]. In this study, we define the circumradius formula $\Phi_n (a; y)$...
for a cyclic $n$-gon as the polynomial factors with the following degree in $y$. Let

$$ k_m := \frac{2m + 1}{2} - \left( \frac{2m}{m} \right) - 2^{m-1} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} (m-j) \left( \frac{2m+1}{j} \right); $$

(20)

that is, let $k_i := 1, 7, 38, 187, 874, \ldots (i = 1, 2, 3, 4, \ldots)$. Then,

- the degree in $y$ of $\Phi_{2m+1}(a_i; y)$ is $k_m$, and
- the degree in $y$ of $\Phi_{2m+2}^{(\pm)}(a_i; y)$ is $2k_m$, where $\Phi_{2m+2}^{(\pm)}$ is factored into the product of two polynomials, $\Phi_{2m+2}^{(+) and (\mp)}$, each degree $k_m$.

We should note that $\Phi_{2m+1}(a_i; y)$ and $\Phi_{2m+2}^{(\pm)}(a_i; y)$ are polynomials only in $a_i^2$'s.

In our previous paper [3], we computed the case of a cyclic hexagon ($m = 2$), dividing it into a pentagon and a triangle with diagonal $d$. Computing the resultant with $D(=d^2)$, we obtained a polynomial with degree $14$ and $497,417$ terms as an explicit form:

$$ \{ \Phi_6^{(+)')(a_1, \ldots, a_6; y) := \text{Res}_y(\Phi_5(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, \sqrt{d}, y), \Phi_3(\sqrt{d}, a_5, a_6; y))/y^5 \}
= \tilde{B}_1 y^3 + \cdots + \tilde{B}_6 y + \tilde{B}_0 \quad \tilde{B}_i \in \mathbb{Z}[a_1, \ldots, a_6]. $$

(21)

Next, we factorized $\Phi_6^{(\pm)}(a_i; y)$, and obtained

$$ \Phi_6^{(\pm)}(a_i; y) = \Phi_6^{(\pm)}(a_i; y) \cdot \Phi_0^{(\pm)}(a_i; y) \quad (\text{deg}, \Phi_6^{(\pm)} = \text{deg}_y \Phi_6^{(\mp)} = 7), $$

(22)

where both $\Phi_6^{(+) and (\mp)}$ have 19,449 terms. We should note that this factorization still needs several hours of CPU time, and might be a bottleneck in these procedures.

### 3.2 Revised algorithm for the circumradius of a cyclic hexagon

The result described above strongly suggests that we should avoid the factorization of large polynomials such as $\Phi_6^{(\pm)}(a_i; y)$. In the new formulation, we divide a cyclic hexagon into two (convex) quadrilaterals, and directly compute the defining polynomial for the circumradius of a convex hexagon as an expanded form:

$$ \Phi_6^{(+)')(a_i; y) := \text{Res}_y(\Phi_4^{(+)')(a_1, a_2, a_3, d; y), \Phi_4^{(+)')(d, a_4, a_5, a_6; y)}/y
= B_7 y^7 + B_6 y^6 + \cdots + B_1 y + B_0 \quad (19,449 \text{ terms, approx. 580KB}) $$

(23)

$$ \left( y = R^2, \quad B_i \in \mathbb{Z}[a_1, \ldots, a_6] \right). $$

Since the polynomial $\Phi_6^{(+)')(a_i; y)$ contains terms with odd degrees in $a_i$'s, the above resultant should be computed with respect to $d$ itself. We have confirmed that the leading coefficient of $\Phi_6^{(+)')(a_i; y)$ has the following form:

$$ B_7 = \prod_{i=0}^{16} \left( a_1 + \sum_{j=2}^{6} (-1)^j a_j \right) \quad k_j \in \{0, 1\}, \quad \sum_{j=2}^{6} k_j \equiv 1 \pmod{2}, $$

(24)

which is the product of $a_1 \pm \cdots \pm a_6$ with even numbers of $+$ sign.

By avoiding factorization requiring several hours of CPU time, the computation of Eq. (23) can be executed in less than one second, which is a drastic improvement on the result reported in our previous paper [3].
The counterpart of \( \Phi_6^{(+)}(a_i; y) \) for hexagons of the other group without a convex one is obtained by simple substitution from Robbins’ theorem:

\[
\Phi_6^{(-)}(a_1, \ldots, a_5, a_6; y) := \Phi_6^{(+)}(a_1, \ldots, a_5, -a_6; y).
\] (25)

In the formulation later in this paper, we will also refer to the expanded form of polynomial \( \Phi_6^{(+)}(a_i; y) \) in Eq. (22), which has terms with only even degrees in \( a_i \)’s.

As the next step, using the elementary symmetric polynomials \( s_1 = a_1^2 + \cdots + a_6^2 \), \( s_2 = a_1^3 a_2^3 + a_3^3 a_4^3 + \cdots \), \( \sqrt{s_6} = a_1 \cdots a_6 \), we rewrite Eq. (25) into a simpler form by the algorithm described later in Subsection 4.2:

\[
F_6^{(+)}(s_i; y) := B_7 y^7 + B_6 y^6 + \cdots + B_1 y + B_0 \quad (224 \text{ terms}),
\] (26)

where \( B_i \in \mathbb{Z}[s_1, \ldots, s_5, \sqrt{s_6}] \). Compared with Eq. (12), the leading coefficient and the constant term have the following forms:

\[
\begin{align*}
B_7 &= \tilde{A}_7 + (-384 s_1^2 + 3072 s_1 s_2 - 4096 s_1^3 s_3 - 6144 s_1^2 s_4^2 - 8192 s_1 s_4^3 + 16384 s_2^2 s_3 + 32768 s_3^3) \sqrt{s_6} + (12288 s_1^2 - 32768 s_2) \sqrt{s_6}^2, \\
B_0 &= \tilde{A}_0 - s_2 s_3^2 \sqrt{s_6} + (s_1 s_3 s_5 - 4 s_4 s_5) \sqrt{s_6} - (-s_3 s_4 + 2 s_1 s_5 + 4 s_2 s_4 - s_1^2) \sqrt{s_6}^2 \\
& \quad + (s_1^3 - 4 s_1 s_2 + 4 s_3) \sqrt{s_6}^4 - 4 \sqrt{s_6}^5.
\end{align*}
\] (27)

Its counterpart is simply computed by substitution:

\[
F_6^{(-)}(s_1, \ldots, s_5, \sqrt{s_6}; y) := F_6^{(+)}(s_1, \ldots, s_5, -\sqrt{s_6}; y).
\] (28)

Since we have also the relation

\[
F_5(s_1, \ldots, s_5; y) = F_6^{(+)}(s_1, \ldots, s_5, 0; y),
\] (29)

we can express \( F_5, F_6^{(+)}, \) and \( F_6^{(-)} \) uniformly as polynomial \( F_{5,6}(s_1, \ldots, s_5, e \sqrt{s_6}; y) \) similarly to Eq. (14), using the crossing parity \( e \). The term \( e \sqrt{s_6} \) means that \( e \) is 0 for pentagons, +1 for hexagons that include a convex one, and −1 for the other group of hexagons. This completes the computation for the circumradii of cyclic pentagons and hexagons.

### 4 Revision of the computation for heptagons \((n = 7)\)

#### 4.1 Comparison of the division of cyclic heptagons

In our previous paper [5], the essential computation consisted of the following resultant:

\[
\Phi_7(a_i; y) := \text{Res}_D(\Phi_6^{(m)}(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5, a_6), \sqrt{D}; y), \Phi_7(\sqrt{D}, a_6, a_7; y))/y^6,
\] (30)

which means that a cyclic heptagon is divided into a hexagon and a triangle with a common circumcircle. However, there could be several ways to compute the resultant for cyclic heptagons other than Eq. (13). After comparative experiments, we have concluded that the following method of resultant computation seems to be quite practical from the viewpoint of CPU time and memory consumption. In this formulation, we divide a cyclic heptagon into a pentagon and a convex quadrilateral by another diagonal \( d \), and compute the resultant into the expanded form:

\[
\Phi_7(a_i; y) := \text{Res}_D(\Phi_5(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5; y), \Phi_6^{(+)}(d, a_5, a_6, a_7; y))/y^6 = C_{38} y^{38} + \cdots + C_{1} y + C_{0} \quad (337,550,051 \text{ terms, approx. 7.407MB})
\] (31)

\[\{y = R^2, C_i \in \mathbb{Z}[a_1^2, \ldots, a_7^2]\}.\]
We have observed that the leading coefficient and the constant term have the following forms:

\[
\begin{align*}
C_{38} &= \prod (a_i \pm a_2 \pm a_3 \pm a_4 \pm a_5 \pm a_6 \pm a_7) \quad \text{(all combinations, 64 terms),} \\
C_0 &= a_1^{20}a_2^{20}a_3^{20}a_4^{20}a_5^{20}a_6^{20}a_7^{20}.
\end{align*}
\] (32)

It seems difficult to compute the above resultant in Eq. (31) straightforwardly because of the size of polynomial \( \Phi_5 \). Hence, we divide the computation steps as follows.

Firstly, we collect the coefficients of the two polynomials in \( d \) as a preprocessing for the construction of Sylvester matrix:

\[
\begin{align*}
\Phi_5(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, d; y) &= y^7d^{16} + u_{14}d^{14} + \cdots + u_2d^2 + u_0 \quad (u_j \in \mathbb{Z}[a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, y]), \\
\Phi_4^{(+)}(d, a_5, a_6, a_7; y) &= yd^4 + a_5a_6a_7d^3 + (\cdots)d^2 + (\cdots)d + (\cdots) \quad \text{(19 terms),}
\end{align*}
\] (33)

where \( \Phi_5 \) originally has 2,922 terms (with only even degrees in \( d \)).

Secondly, we compute the resultant of these polynomials, regarding \( \Phi_5 \) as a polynomial with only 9 terms. It is a conventional programming technique in computer algebra to replace large subexpressions with new symbols temporally. Then, we obtain the intermediate form of the resultant polynomial:

\[
R(u_0, u_2, \ldots, u_{14}, a_5, a_6, a_7; y) := \text{Res}_d(\Phi_5, \Phi_4^{+}).
\] (34)

Thirdly, we substitute the original coefficient \( u_j(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, y) \) in \( \Phi_5 \) into each \( u_j \), and obtain the following polynomial:

\[
R(a_1, \ldots, a_7; y) = C_{38}^{+44} + \cdots + C_0^{+6},
\] (35)

where \( C_j \)'s are not yet expanded, because the Maple computer algebra system does not simplify them automatically.

Finally, if we succeed in expanding each coefficient \( C_j \) into the simplified form \( C_j \), we obtain the explicit circumradius formula \( \Phi_7(a_j; y) \) in Eq. (51). This expansion step needs large memory allocation and often fails, and the job of computing \( C_j \)'s should be divided into several parts of appropriate sizes.

Using the same division of heptagons as in Eq. (51), we could also compute the following resultant, as a third method:

\[
\Phi_7(a_j; y) := \text{Res}_D(\Phi_5(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, \sqrt{D}; y), \Phi_4^{(+)}(\sqrt{D}, a_5, a_6, a_7; y))/y^6.
\] (36)

Since we have \( D = d^2 \), the resultant with \( D \) will give rise to a Sylvester matrix half the size of that with \( d \). Hence, more efficient computation may be expected.

Otherwise, as a fourth method, if we divide a cyclic heptagon into a hexagon and a triangle similarly to Eq. (51), we can also express the formula by the following resultant:

\[
\Phi_7(a_j; y) := \text{Res}_d(\Phi_6^{(+)}(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_5, a_6, a_7; y), \Phi_3(d, a_6, a_7; y))/y^6,
\] (37)

where \( \Phi_6^{(+)} \) has 19,449 terms and might decrease the efficiency of computation.

Since it is almost impossible to find the optimal way to compute \( \Phi_7(a_j; y) \) in advance, we tried all of these four types of formulations of the resultant. In the process of resultant computation, devices similar to those used in Eqs. (53), (54), and (55) are indispensable. We used the Maple 2016 computer algebra system in two environments:

**Machine A** Windows, Xeon (8 core, 2.93 GHz) × 2, 192 GB RAM,
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Machine B Linux, Xeon (8 core, 2.6 GHz) × 2, 256 GB RAM.

A summary of the CPU times is shown in Table 1. The times include garbage collection; hence, if the memory allocation approaches the hardware limit, the efficiency of computation will be greatly lowered. Among these four methods, those of Eqs. (31) and (36), division into a pentagon and a quadrilateral, are relatively efficient. In contrast, it can be seen that those of Eqs. (30) and (37), division into a hexagon and a triangle, should be avoided. This finding represents a considerable improvement over that reported in our previous paper [5], where Eq. (30) was applied.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Resultant</th>
<th>Machine A</th>
<th>Machine B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Eq. (30)</td>
<td>62,211</td>
<td>67,087</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eq. (31)</td>
<td>†24,941</td>
<td>28,489</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eq. (36)</td>
<td>†25,365</td>
<td>27,978</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eq. (37)</td>
<td>‡238,183</td>
<td>††171,980</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

†: Job was divided into 4 parts.
‡: Job was divided into 7 parts.
††: Job was divided into 2 parts.

Table 1: CPU times (sec) using Maple 2016 for computing $\Phi_7(a_i; y)$

4.2 Conversion into an expression in the form of elementary symmetric polynomials

Since the coefficients in the circumradius formula for a cyclic heptagon are also symmetric with those of $a_i^2$, the size of the formula can be reduced if the coefficients are expressed by elementary symmetric polynomials.

The conversion has been processed by the following conventional algorithm so far. First, we consider the polynomial ideal with elementary symmetric polynomials of $n$-th order:

$$I = \{ s_1 - (a_1^2 + \cdots + a_n^2), \ldots, s_{n-1} - (a_1^2 \cdots a_{n-1}^2 + \cdots), s_n - (a_1^2 \cdots a_n^2) \}.$$  (38)

When the number $n$ is even, we replace the last element $s_n$ with $\sqrt{s_n}$:

$$I' = \{ s_1 - (a_1^2 + \cdots + a_n^2), \ldots, s_{n-1} - (a_1^2 \cdots a_{n-1}^2 + \cdots), s'_n - (a_1 \cdots a_n) \}.$$  (39)

With a group ordering (“lexdeg” in the Maple computer algebra system), computing the Gröbner basis of $I$ or $I'$ using Maple built-in function “Basis”, we obtain

$$G := \text{Basis}(I, \{a_1, \ldots, a_n\} > \{s_1, \ldots, s_n\}).$$  (40)

Next, computing $p := \text{NormalForm}(f, G)$ for a symmetric polynomial $f$ using Maple function “NormalForm”, we obtain the expression $p$ in the form of elementary symmetric polynomials. This algorithm has been effective for polynomials with up to 6 variables, and we have succeeded in computing $F_7^{(s)}(s; y)$ in Eq. (26).

However, in the case of 7 variables, this naïve algorithm becomes inefficient and cannot be used. For example, the constant term $C_0 = a_1^{20} \cdots a_7^{20}$ in $\Phi_7(a_i; y)$ has never been reduced to $s_7^{10}$ by the “NormalForm” function.
This feature means that we should explicitly program the procedure of reduction by elementary symmetric polynomials for \( n \geq 7 \). Therefore, we have constructed the algorithm as follows.

First, we replace \( b_i := a_i^2 \) for simplicity, and consider the ideal

\[
I = \{ s_1 - (b_1 + \cdots + b_7), \ldots , \ s_6 - (b_1 \cdots b_6 + \cdots) , \ s_7 - (b_1 \cdots b_7) \} . \tag{41}
\]

We compute the Gröbner basis of ideal \( I \) with a purely lexicographic order \( b_1 > \cdots > b_7 > s_1 > \cdots > s_7 \). Then, we obtain the Gröbner basis \( G = \{ g_1, \ldots , g_7 \} \) with a certain type of structured form, which consists of the following polynomials:

\[
\begin{align*}
g_1 & = b_1 + (b_2 + \cdots + b_7 - s_1), \\
g_2 & = b_2^2 + h_2(b_2, b_3, \ldots , b_7, s_1, s_2), \\
& \quad \cdots \\
g_6 & = b_6^5 + h_6(b_6, b_7, s_1, \ldots , s_6), \\
g_7 & = b_7^7 - s_1 b_6^5 + s_2 b_7^5 - s_3 b_7^3 + s_4 b_7^3 - s_5 b_7^2 + s_6 b_7 - s_7, 
\end{align*}
\tag{42}
\]

where \( h_i(b_i, \ldots , b_7, s_1, \ldots , s_7) \in \mathbb{Z}[b_i, \ldots , b_7, s_1, \ldots , s_7] \ (2 \leq i \leq 6) \).

Since each head term of \( g_i \) is \( b_1, b_2^2, \ldots , b_7^5 \) respectively, we reduce the symmetric polynomial \( f \) using \( g_i \)'s in this order. Using the “Rem” polynomial remainder function in Maple, we compute the remainder with \( b_1, b_2, \ldots , b_7 \) sequentially as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
\{ r_1 & := \text{Rem}(f, g_1; b_1), \\
r_2 & := \text{Rem}(r_1, g_2; b_2), \\
& \quad \cdots \\
r_6 & := \text{Rem}(r_5, g_6; b_6), \\
p & := \text{Rem}(r_6, g_7; b_7).
\end{align*}
\tag{43}
\]

As a result, the variables \( b_1, \ldots , b_7 \) are eliminated from \( f \) in this order, and we obtain the expression with \( s_1, \ldots , s_7 \) only. Applying the above procedure, we have succeeded in converting \( \Phi_7(a; y) \) into

\[
F_7(s; y) = \tilde{C}_3 y^{38} + \cdots + \tilde{C}_1 y + \tilde{C}_0 \quad (199,695 \text{ terms}), \tag{44}
\]

where we have \( \tilde{C}_i \in \mathbb{Z}[s_1, \ldots , s_7] \), with 78,503 seconds of CPU time on Machine A (described in Subsection 4.1). To the best of our knowledge, this polynomial \( F_7(s; y) \) has not been shown elsewhere. Hence, this result represents a significant improvement to that in our previous paper [3], where only \( \Phi_7(a; y) \) with 337,550,051 terms was obtained.

For reference, the area formula \( (n = 7) \) reported by Maley et al. [2] has the following form:

\[
\tilde{\Psi}_7(s; x) = x^{38} + \tilde{M}_7 x^{37} + \cdots + \tilde{M}_1 x + \tilde{M}_0 \quad (955,641 \text{ terms}), \tag{45}
\]

where \( x = (4S)^2 \) and \( \tilde{M}_i \in \mathbb{Z}[s_1, \ldots , s_7] \). They constructed the formula using elementary symmetric polynomials from the beginning, and their study does not contain a conversion procedure as described above.

5 \hspace{1em} Attempt at computation for an octagon \((n = 8)\)

5.1 \hspace{1em} Algorithm and current results

We have several ways of dividing a cyclic octagon for computation of the circumradius formula. Dividing an octagon into a heptagon and a triangle, and substituting \( D = d^2 \), we have the following relation by resultant:

\[
\Phi_8^{(a)}(a; y) := \text{Res}_D(\Phi_7(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5, a_6, \sqrt{D}; y), \Phi_3(\sqrt{D}, a_7, a_8; y))/y^{32}. \tag{46}
\]
Alternatively, if we divide an octagon into two pentagons, we have a similar relation:

\[
\Phi_8(\pm; a_i; y) = \Phi_8(+) a_i; y \cdot \Phi_8(-) a_i; y
\]

which seems almost impractical. In order to avoid factorization, we should divide an octagon into a (convex) hexagon and a (convex) quadrilateral, and directly compute the following resultant with degree 38 in \( y \):

\[
\Phi_8(+) a_i; y := \text{Res}_d(\Phi_8(+) a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, \sqrt{D}; y) \cdot \Phi_8(+) d, a_6, a_7, a_8; y) / y^{36}.
\]

Similarly to Eq. (47), we compute this stepwise.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>deg in ( y )</th>
<th>#terms of ( \Phi_8^{(+)} )</th>
<th>t-deg</th>
<th>#terms of ( F_8^{(+)} )</th>
<th>deg in ( \sqrt{y} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>5,554,128</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>918</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>13,298,304</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>1,870</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>26,940,233</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>3,432</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>48,012,824</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>5,732</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>77,750,132</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>8,931</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>114,947,440</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>12,670</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>158,302,913</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>17,129</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>204,390,480</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>21,592</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>250,654,676</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>26,179</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>293,931,056</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>30,200</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>333,471,187</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>33,748</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>367,872,280</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>36,404</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>393,876,280</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>38,662</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>410,700,024</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>40,052</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>126,825,848</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>17,976</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>109,294,704</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>16,183</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>93,610,141</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>14,513</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>79,699,496</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>12,910</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>67,463,040</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>11,436</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>56,784,240</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>10,026</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>47,533,327</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>8,743</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>39,574,496</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>7,514</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>32,771,272</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>6,385</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>26,990,336</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>5,260</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>22,105,457</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>4,231</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Firstly, we collect the coefficients of the two polynomials in \( d \):

\[
\begin{align*}
\Phi_6^+(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5, d; y) &= y^6 d^{16} - a_1 a_2 a_3 a_4 a_5 d^{15} + u_{14} d^{14} + \cdots + u_1 d + u_0 \quad (u_j \in \mathbb{Z}[a_1, \ldots, a_5, y]), \\
\Phi_4^+(d, a_6, a_7, a_8; y) &= y d^4 + a_6 a_7 a_8 d^3 + (\cdots) d^2 + (\cdots) d + (\cdots) \\
& \quad (19 \text{ terms}),
\end{align*}
\]

(50)

where \( \Phi_6^+ \) originally has 19,449 terms.

Secondly, we compute the resultant of these polynomials, regarding \( u_0, \ldots, u_{14} \) as independent new variables. Then, we obtain the intermediate form of the resultant polynomial:

\[
R(u_0, u_1, \ldots, u_{14}, a_1, \ldots, a_8; y) := \text{Res}_d(\Phi_6^+, \Phi_4^+).
\]

(51)

Thirdly, we substitute the original coefficient \( u_j(a_1, \ldots, a_5, y) \) in \( \Phi_6^+ \) into each \( u_j \), and obtain the following polynomial:

\[
\tilde{R}(a_1, \ldots, a_8; y) = \tilde{P}_{38} y^{38} + \cdots + \tilde{P}_{28} y^{28} + (\tilde{P}_{27} y^{27} + \cdots + \tilde{P}_{14} y^{14}) + P_{13} y^{13} + \cdots + P_0,
\]

(52)

At this point, the \( \tilde{P}_i \)'s have not yet been expanded or simplified and it is difficult to observe their explicit expressions. Finally, if we succeed in expanding each coefficient \( \tilde{P}_i \), we obtain the circum-radius formula \( \Phi_8^+(a_1; y) \) in Eq. (53). The current status of computation is expressed as follows:

\[
\Phi_8^+(a_1; y) = P_{38} y^{38} + \cdots + P_{28} y^{28} + (P_{27} y^{27} + \cdots + P_{14} y^{14}) + P_{13} y^{13} + \cdots + P_0,
\]

(53)

where coefficients \( P_{27}, \ldots, P_{14} \) with much larger sizes have not yet been obtained in expanded form. A summary of the number of terms is shown in Table A and the degrees of each coefficient will be discussed later.

The expansion of each coefficient \( \tilde{P}_i \) needs a large memory allocation and often fails. For example, the size of coefficient \( P_{13} \) is approximately 8,644MB in Maple file format (*.m), which is the largest one obtained so far. In order to avoid memory overflow, we need to divide the procedure into a number of smaller problems, which requires much more CPU time. For example, the expansion of \( \tilde{P}_{28} \) took 371 days of CPU time in total (with 182 jobs, on Machine B described in Subsection 4.1), which is the longest computation executed so far, even though its size is approximately 2,673MB. Although we are considering the specification of data structures in Maple [3], it is unlikely that the remaining computations will be completed in the near future.

Nevertheless, some properties of the octagon formula have been elucidated at this point. We have, for example, succeeded in expanding the leading coefficient and obtained the structure

\[
P_{38} = \prod_{k_j \in [0, 1], \sum_{j=2}^{8} k_j \equiv 1 \pmod{2}} \left( a_1 + \sum_{j=2}^{8} (-1)^{k_j} a_j \right)
\]

(54)

which is the product of \( a_1 \pm a_2 \pm \cdots \pm a_8 \) with even numbers of \pm sign.

When we obtain the coefficient \( P_i \) in expanded form, it should be converted into an expression in the form of elementary symmetric polynomials. First, we substitute \( a_1 \cdots a_8 = \sqrt{s_8} \) in each coefficient \( P_i \), and rewrite it as a polynomial form in \( \sqrt{s_8} \):

\[
P_i = h_0(a_1^2, \ldots, a_8^2) + h_1(a_1^2, \ldots, a_8^2) \sqrt{s_8} + \cdots + h_{\ell}(a_1^2, \ldots, a_8^2) \sqrt{s_8}^\ell.
\]

(55)

In this expression, each coefficient \( h_j(a_1^2, \ldots, a_8^2) \) is a symmetric polynomial in \( a_1^2, \ldots, a_8^2 \) again. Hence, we convert each coefficient using the recurrence relation for elementary symmetric polynomials, which is detailed in the next subsection. At present, we have obtained the coefficients in the form of elementary symmetric polynomials except \( \tilde{P}_{27}, \ldots, \tilde{P}_{14} \), as follows:

\[
\Phi_8^+(s; y) = \tilde{P}_{38} y^{38} + \cdots + \tilde{P}_{28} y^{28} + (\tilde{P}_{27} y^{27} + \cdots + \tilde{P}_{14} y^{14}) + P_{13} y^{13} + \cdots + P_0.
\]

(56)
For example, the constant term is expressed as
\[ P_0 = s_1^{10} + s_2 s_7 \sqrt{s_9} + \cdots + (3s_4^6 - 8s_4^3 s_2) \sqrt{s_9}^{16} \quad (918 \text{ terms}), \]
where \( s_1^{10} = \tilde{C}_o \) in Eq. (44).

Since we have not completed expanding \( P_i \) (27 \( \geq i \geq 14 \)), their expressions in the form of elementary symmetric polynomials \( P_i \) (27 \( \geq i \geq 14 \)) have not yet been obtained.

### 5.2 Recurrence relation for elementary symmetric polynomials

In this subsection, we consider another algorithm for converting symmetric polynomials \( h_j(a_1^2, \ldots, a_n^2) \) in Eq. (55). A memory overflow was caused when the reduction procedure discussed earlier in the relation to Eqs. (52) and (53) was applied to the case of 8 variables. Hence, we tried to use a classical recurrence relation as follows, and to reduce the size of the problems.

Let \( s_k \) be the \( k \)th elementary symmetric polynomial with \( x_1, \ldots, x_n \), and let \( t_k \) be the \( k \)th elementary symmetric polynomial with \( x_2, \ldots, x_n \). Then, we have the following relations:

\[
\begin{align*}
    s_1 &= x_1 + t_1, \\
    s_2 &= t_1 x_1 + t_2, \\
    \vdots & \quad \vdots \\
    s_{n-1} &= t_{n-2} x_1 + t_{n-1}, \\
    s_n &= t_{n-1} x_1.
\end{align*}
\]

(58)

If we solve the \( i \)th equation with \( t_i \), and substitute it into the next equation for \( i = 1, \ldots, n-1 \) repeatedly, we obtain the following relations:

\[
\begin{align*}
    t_1 &= s_1 - x_1, \\
    t_2 &= s_2 - t_1 x_1 = s_2 - s_1 x_1 + x_1^2, \\
    \vdots & \quad \vdots \\
    t_{n-1} &= s_{n-1} - t_{n-2} x_1 = s_{n-1} - \cdots + (-1)^{n-1} x_1^{n-1},
\end{align*}
\]

(59)

which means that \( t_1, \ldots, t_{n-1} \) are expressed as polynomials in \( x_1, s_1, \ldots, s_{n-1} \). Finally, substituting into the \( n \)th line in Eq. (58), we obtain the polynomial relation:

\[
g(x_1) = (-1)^{n-1} x_1^n + \cdots + s_{n-1} x_1 - s_n = 0.
\]

(60)

Using these relations, expressions in the form of elementary symmetric polynomials with \( n \) variables are computed by the following procedure.

Firstly, we order the given symmetric expression with \( n \) variables into the polynomial in \( x_1 \):

\[
f(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = a_\ell(x_2, \ldots, x_n) x_1^\ell + \cdots + a_1(x_2, \ldots, x_n) x_1 + a_0(x_2, \ldots, x_n),
\]

(61)

where each coefficient \( a_j(x_2, \ldots, x_n) \) is symmetric in \( x_2, \ldots, x_n \).

Secondly, applying Eqs. (52) and (53) to the \( n-1 \) variable case, we convert \( a_j(x_2, \ldots, x_n) \) into the expression by \( t_k \):

\[
f'(x_1, t_1, \ldots, t_{n-1}) = a'_\ell(t_1, \ldots, t_{n-1}) x_1^\ell + \cdots + a'_1(t_1, \ldots, t_{n-1}) x_1 + a'_0(t_1, \ldots, t_{n-1}).
\]

(62)

This process means that one problem with \( n \) variables is divided into \( \ell \) problems with \( n-1 \) variables.

Thirdly, applying Eq. (59) to Eq. (62), we express \( t_k \) by \( x_1, s_1, \ldots, s_k \), and reorder it with \( x_1 \):

\[
f''(x_1, s_1, \ldots, s_{n-1}) = a''_\ell(x_1, \ldots, s_{n-1}) x_1^\ell + \cdots + a''_1(s_1, \ldots, s_{n-1}) x_1 + a''_0(s_1, \ldots, s_{n-1}).
\]

(63)
Finally, using Eq. (60), we compute the remainder of \( f'' \) by \( g(x_1) \) with \( x_1 \). As a result, the variable \( x_1 \) is completely eliminated and we obtain the expression in the form of elementary symmetric polynomials as \( \tilde{f}(s_1, \ldots, s_n) \).

When we tried to apply this procedure to the computation of Eq. (44) with 7 variables, the computation time was not necessarily reduced, even though memory consumption could be suppressed. However, for the case with 8 variables in Eq. (55), the above procedure with a recurrence relation was found to be indispensable to avoid memory overflow.

5.3 Confirmation of the results for octagons

At present, we have succeeded in computing the coefficients \( P_i \) and \( \tilde{P}_i \) (\( i = 0, \ldots, 13, 28, \ldots, 38 \)) in Eqs. (53) and (56). We have confirmed their correctness in the following two ways:

**Check (1)** We assumed that the heptagon formulae in Eqs. (31) and (44) were correctly computed. Then, we substituted \( a_i = 0 \) or \( \sqrt{s_i} = 0 \) into \( P_i \) and \( \tilde{P}_i \), and compared them with coefficients \( C_i \) and \( \tilde{C}_i \) in the heptagon formulae. For the coefficients \( P_i \) and \( \tilde{P}_i \) obtained so far, all of the values were confirmed to be identical.

**Check (2)** The resultant in Eq. (49) is easily computed under the substitution \( a_j = p_j \), where \( p_j \)'s are randomly chosen prime numbers. Then, we compared these values with the coefficients \( P_i \) in \( \Phi_4^{(+)}(p_j; y) \) under the substitution \( a_j = p_j \), and confirmed that they were identical.

Since the expression \( \tilde{P}_i \) in the form of elementary symmetric polynomials is successfully computed from \( P_i \), we may conclude that \( P_i \) is truly a symmetric polynomial. Adding the above checks to this result, we believe that the octagon formula, computed so far, is surely the correct expansion of the heptagon formula.

6 Analysis of the forms of circumradius formulae

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>deg in ( y )</th>
<th>#terms in ( \Phi_4^{(+)} )</th>
<th>t-deg</th>
<th>#terms in ( F_4^{(+)} )</th>
<th>deg in ( \sqrt{s_i} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Each coefficient in the quadrilateral formulae \( \Phi_4^{(+)}(a_i; y) \) and \( F_4^{(+)}(s_i; y) \)

In this section, we investigate the shapes of circumradius formulae by focusing on the degrees in each coefficient. First, we introduce the notion of the total degree of a power product in \( a_i^2 \)'s.

**Definition 1**

We define the total degree of a power product in \( a_i^2 \)'s as follows:

\[
\text{t-deg} \left( a_1^{2m_1} a_2^{2m_2} \cdots a_n^{2m_n} \right) := m_1 + m_2 + \cdots + m_n.
\]
Under this definition, elementary symmetric polynomials with \(n\) variables have the following structures composed of homogeneous power products:

\[
\begin{align*}
\begin{cases}
  s_1 &= a_1^2 + \cdots + a_n^2 \\
  s_2 &= a_1^2 a_2^2 + \cdots \\
  \vdots \\
  s_{n-1} &= a_1^2 a_2^2 \cdots a_{n-1}^2 + \cdots \\
  s_n &= a_1^2 a_2^2 \cdots a_n^2
\end{cases}
\end{align*}
\]

is homogeneous with t-deg 1,

\[
s_2 = a_1^2 a_2^2 + \cdots
\]

is homogeneous with t-deg 2,

\[
\vdots
\]

\[
s_{n-1} = a_1^2 a_2^2 \cdots a_{n-1}^2 + \cdots
\]

is homogeneous with t-deg \(n-1\),

\[
s_n = a_1^2 a_2^2 \cdots a_n^2
\]

has t-deg \(n\).

Adding to the above, only for the case of even number \(n\), we define t-deg(\(\sqrt{n}\)) = \(n/2\), where \(\sqrt{n} = a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n\). We also note that the total degree in elementary symmetric polynomials is given by

\[
t\text{-deg}(s_1^{m_1} s_2^{m_2} \cdots s_n^{m_n}) = m_1 + 2m_2 + \cdots + nm_n.
\]

First, we investigate the triangle formula \(\Phi_3(a_1, a_2, a_3; y)\) in Eq. (3).

- The constant term has the form \(a_1^2 a_2^2 a_3^2\) with t-deg 3.
- The coefficient of \(y(= R^2)\) is \(a_1^4 + a_2^4 + a_3^4 - 2(a_1^2 a_2^2 + a_2^2 a_3^2 + a_3^2 a_1^2)\) and it is homogeneous with t-deg 2.

These relations are also observed in the expression \(F_3(s_1, s_2, s_3; y)\) in the form of elementary symmetric polynomials in Eq. (65), where we have t-deg(\(s_3\)) = 3 and t-deg(\(s_1^2 - 4s_2\)) = 2.

Similarly, we analyze the quadrilateral formulae \(\Phi_4^{(+)}(a_1; y)\) and \(F_4^{(+)}(s_1; y)\) in Eqs. (66) and (67), noting that t-deg(\(\sqrt{s_4}\)) = t-deg(\(a_1 a_2 a_3 a_4\)) = 2. The number of terms and the total degrees are shown in Table 3. From these results, it can be seen that the triangle formula is a part of the quadrilateral formula as shown in Eq. (68).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>deg in (y)</th>
<th>#terms in (\Phi_6^{(+)}(a_i; y))</th>
<th>t-deg</th>
<th>#terms in (F_6^{(+)}(s_1; y))</th>
<th>deg in (\sqrt{s_6})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>533</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1,632</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2,688</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3,597</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>3,888</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>3,234</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>2,338</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>1,539</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: Each coefficient in the hexagon formulae \(\Phi_6^{(+)}(a_i; y)\) and \(F_6^{(+)}(s_1; y)\)

Next, we investigate the hexagon formulae \(\Phi_6^{(+)}(a_i; y)\) and \(F_6^{(+)}(s_1; y)\) in Eqs. (68) and (69), noting that t-deg(\(\sqrt{s_6}\)) = t-deg(\(a_1 \cdots a_6\)) = 3. The number of terms and the total degrees are shown in Table 3, and it is naturally confirmed by the observation that the pentagon formulae \(\Phi_5(a_i; y)\) and \(F_5(s_1; y)\) in Eqs. (72) and (73) have the same distribution of total degrees.

Finally, we analyze the octagon formulae \(\Phi_8^{(+)}(a_i; y)\) and \(F_8^{(+)}(a_i; y)\) in Eqs. (74) and (75), although it should be noted that this attempt is still ongoing. As discussed earlier, we have completed the computations \(P_i\) and \(P_i\) for \(i = 0, \ldots, 13\) and \(i = 28, \ldots, 38\). The number of terms and the total degrees of these coefficients are shown in Table 3.
Since the distribution of degrees is quite regular, it seems possible to readily estimate the forms of \( \tilde{P}_i \) \( (i = 14, \ldots, 27) \), the expanded forms of which we have not yet obtained. For example, \( \tilde{P}_{20} \) should have t-deg 50 and degree 12 in \( \sqrt{s_8} \). Therefore, it should have the following form:

\[
\tilde{P}_{20} = u_0(s_1, \ldots, s_7) + u_1(s_1, \ldots, s_7) \sqrt{s_8} + \cdots + u_{12}(s_1, \ldots, s_7) \sqrt{s_8}^{12},
\]

where \( u_j \) is homogeneous with t-deg\( u_j \) = 50 − 4\( j \) \( (j = 0, \ldots, 12) \). In particular, \( u_0(s_1, \ldots, s_7) \) should be identical with coefficient \( \tilde{C}_{20} \) of the heptagon formula \( F_7(s_i; y) \) in Eq. (44).

7 Concluding remarks

In this study, we have shown continued progress in the computation of circumradius formulae for cyclic polygons since our previous paper [5] as follows.

(1) The computation algorithms for cyclic hexagons and heptagons have been significantly improved.

(2) The circumradius formula for heptagons has been converted into an expression in the form of elementary symmetric polynomials for the first time.

(3) The current status of computation for the octagon formula is shown, and 25 out of 39 coefficients have been explicitly obtained so far. However, it might be quite difficult to expand the remaining polynomials \( \tilde{P}_i \) \( (i = 14, \ldots, 27) \) because of their size.

(4) The common structure of the circumradius formulae has been investigated by the distribution of total degrees.

Although the computations for the octagon formula have not yet been completed, we believe that significant knowledge in a unified form has been obtained for the circumradii of cyclic \( n \)-gons \( (n = 3, \ldots, 8) \). As a result, it has become possible to predict the structure of each coefficient, such as Eq. (67) in the octagon formula. Using this knowledge, it is expected that another approach such as a numerical interpolation algorithm will be able to be applied to this problem in the future.
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